

# TAX CONTROVERSY

## Switzerland



# Tax Controversy

Consulting editors

**Gideon Sanitt, Ben Webster**

*Macfarlanes LLP*

---

Quick reference guide enabling side-by-side comparison of local insights, including into the legal and regulatory framework; compliance and enforcement; involvement/investigation of third parties; cooperation between tax and other authorities; special procedures, voluntary disclosure, and amnesties; rights of taxpayers; court actions; and recent trends.

---

Generated 05 September 2022

The information contained in this report is indicative only. Law Business Research is not responsible for any actions (or lack thereof) taken as a result of relying on or in any way using information contained in this report and in no event shall be liable for any damages resulting from reliance on or use of this information. © Copyright 2006 - 2022 Law Business Research

# Table of contents

## OVERVIEW

Legislation

Relevant authority

## ENFORCEMENT

Verification of compliance with tax laws

Tax return review procedure and limitation periods

Tax authority requests for information

Taxpayer failure to provide information

Protecting commercial information

Alternative dispute resolution

Collecting overdue payments

Penalties - scope of application

Penalties – calculation

Penalties – defences

Collecting and calculating interest

Criminal consequences

Tax avoidance

Enforcement record

## THIRD PARTIES AND OTHER AUTHORITIES

Third-party involvement with tax reviews

Cooperation with other authorities

## FINANCIAL OR OTHER HARDSHIP

Voluntary disclosure and amnesties

## RIGHTS OF TAXPAYERS

Rules protecting taxpayers

Requesting information from tax authority

Oversight of tax authority governance

## COURT PROCEEDINGS

Competent courts

Lodging a claim

**Combination of claims**

**Pre-claim payments**

**Cost recovery**

**Third-party funding**

**Availability of jury trials**

**Time frames**

**Disclosure requirements**

**Permitted evidence**

**Permitted representation**

**Publicity of proceedings**

**Burden of proof**

**Case management process**

**Appeal**

## **UPDATE AND TRENDS**

**Key developments of the past year**

## Contributors

### Switzerland



**Dr. Ruth Bloch-Riemer**  
ruth.blochriemer@baerkarrer.ch  
*Bär & Karrer*



## OVERVIEW

### Legislation

What is the relevant legislation relating to tax administration and controversies? Aside from legislation, are there other binding rules for taxpayers and the tax authority?

Legislation governing tax administration (including non-judicial tax-assessment procedures) as well as material tax law is based on the Swiss Federal Constitution, the relevant Swiss federal laws (eg, with regard to (1) income and corporate income taxes, the Federal Direct Tax Act (DBG); (2) withholding taxes, the Federal Act on Withholding Taxes; (3) securities issue and transfer taxes, the Federal Act on Stamp Duties; and (4) value added tax, the Federal Act on Value Added Tax) and cantonal legislation (eg, with regard to cantonal income and corporate income taxes, wealth taxes, inheritance and gift taxes, real estate capital gains taxes and property transfer taxes). Further legislation relating to tax administration matters can be found in federal, cantonal and communal ordinances and, in practice, the federal and cantonal judicial authorities' and federal and cantonal tax authorities' published practice (eg, Federal Tax Administration's circular letters, Federal Tax Conference's publications or cantonal guidelines).

Legislation governing tax controversies, including non-judicial tax objection procedures, is based on the legal foundations as set out above. The legislation for judicial (appeal) proceedings can be found in the Swiss Federal Constitution and the relevant Swiss federal procedural laws (eg, the Federal Act on Administrative Procedure, the Federal Act on the Federal Administrative Court and the Federal Act on the Federal Supreme Court), as well as the cantonal procedural laws. With regard to the international exchange of financial information, the Federal Act on Automatic Exchange of Information and the Federal Act on Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters contain important procedural elements.

This chapter mainly focuses on income, wealth, corporate income and capital taxes governed by the DBG unless otherwise mentioned.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

### Relevant authority

What is the relevant tax authority and how is it organised?

The administration of taxation in Switzerland is divided between the Federal Tax Administration, the 26 cantonal tax administrations and the communal tax authorities.

- The cantonal tax administrations are responsible for the correct and uniform assessment and the collection of the taxes for the federal government, cantons and municipalities (ie, income and wealth taxes). In addition, they carry out the federal and cantonal tax laws. Real estate capital gains taxes, property transfer taxes, and inheritance and gift taxes, as well as certain fees, are levied only at the cantonal level and, depending on the applicable cantonal legislation, at the communal level.
- The Federal Tax Administration is, in addition to certain political functions and its coordinating functions with regard to other states in the context of double taxation and information exchange, responsible, for example, for VAT, withholding taxation, federal stamp duties and the military service exemption tax, and has supervisory duties with regard to the application of the DBG and the Federal Act on the Harmonization of Cantonal Tax Acts.
- Customs duties are administered by the Federal Customs Administration.
- Social security contributions are administered by separate, often cantonal, authorities.

To give an order of magnitude, the Swiss Federal Tax Administration employs a total of around 1,100 employees, amounting to approximately 1,000 FTE (full time equivalent). Those employees split into around 60 per cent male employees and 40 per cent female employees, of which more than 70 per cent are German speaking, about 20 per cent French speaking and the rest Italian-speaking.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## **ENFORCEMENT**

### **Verification of compliance with tax laws**

How does the tax authority verify compliance with the tax laws? Does this vary for different taxpayers or taxes?

Federal, cantonal and communal income and corporate income as well as cantonal and communal wealth and capital taxes are levied in a mixed-assessment procedure. That is, taxpayers declare the taxable objects themselves based on their qualification and assessment of the relevant taxable (and tax-exempt) factors, and the tax authorities subsequently verify the information submitted in the individual's or entity's tax return form (ie, compliance with the tax laws and practice) and determine the amount of tax in the assessment decision. The tax authorities' review of submitted forms is, particularly for entities, supplemented by recurring and non-recurring (ie, extraordinary) audits performed by the tax authorities or a mandated service provider on-site.

Cantons invoice the cantonal and municipal taxes, as well as the federal income and corporate income taxes, usually in several provisional instalments. The due date for cantonal and communal taxes is determined by the respective cantonal legislation. The due date for direct federal taxes is generally 1 March of the year following the tax year. In the case of late payment, interest for late payment will accrue.

If taxes are not paid, the taxpayer is first reminded to pay the outstanding amounts. If the reminder is unsuccessful, debt-enforcement measures may be undertaken by the tax authorities.

In a typical procedure, after submission of the tax return, the tax return is reviewed preliminarily to verify its timely submission, the existence of the required signatures and completeness. The tax return is recorded in the electronic assessment system and, subsequently, its content is verified. If necessary, the tax authority may undertake further investigations, whereby the authorities determine on a case-by-case basis which information is required for correct and complete taxation. If the information provided by the taxpayer is deemed incomplete, the authorities may request information from the taxpayer and from third parties (eg, employers). If such further investigations do not lead to satisfactory results, the tax authorities take a discretionary assessment by deciding unilaterally on the taxable income, profits, wealth and capital. The tax authorities' assessment is brought to the taxpayer's attention by way of a formally issued tax assessment order, including the applicable taxable income, profits, wealth and capital, as well as specifying the available legal remedies.

The duration of a tax return's review differs depending on the authorities' internal organisation and workload. A duration of two to three years for more complex cases may not be excluded; in principle, the tax authorities are only bound by the limitation periods.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

### **Tax return review procedure and limitation periods**

What is the typical procedure for the tax authority to review a tax return and how long does the review last? What limitation periods apply?

Income, corporate income, wealth and capital taxes for individuals and (business) entities are generally levied based on similar reporting principles: the basis for taxation consists in the annual tax return, which, for entities, is based on their annual accounts. The tax return is accompanied by side forms that may vary depending on the taxpayer's situation and activities:

- detail forms for real estate (individuals and entities);
- professional activities (individuals); and
- specific accounting topics for entities (eg, depreciation and amortisation overviews, base cost overviews, capital contribution reserves).

In addition to the tax return and accompanying forms, entities are typically subject to recurring and non-recurring tax audits by the competent tax authorities, mostly performed on-site (eg, VAT audit).

The taxation of certain capital income streams (mostly dividends) for individuals and entities is, furthermore, secured via Verrechnungssteuer, a federal withholding taxation mechanism. Further income streams paid to individuals (eg, wages for certain resident aliens, payments to foreign resident wage recipients, board fee or pension recipients) are secured through Quellensteuer, a source tax (wage withholding tax) mechanism. In certain circumstances, intra-group dividend payments (to entities) may benefit from a notice procedure (Meldeverfahren) instead of the regular tax payment. Compliance with the respective legislation and practice is typically also monitored by the competent authorities by recurring and non-recurring audits.

VAT and customs duties as well as social security contributions are levied in accordance with specific reporting forms and procedures, and compliance with the respective legislation and practice is typically also monitored by the competent authorities by recurring and non-recurring audits.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

### **Tax authority requests for information**

What types of information may the tax authority request from taxpayers? Can the tax authority interview the taxpayer or the taxpayer's employees? If so, are there any restrictions?

Under the taxpayer's general requirement of cooperation, the taxpayer is obliged to do everything possible to allow for a complete and correct assessment. Information may, in this context, be requested in written or oral (interview) form. The most important obligation to cooperate is the submission of the tax return. In addition, in certain Cantons, employers are required to directly submit salary certificates to the tax authorities.

The assessment authorities may, furthermore:

- call experts;
- conduct visual inspection; and
- review accounts and receipts on the spot by way of auditing.

In this context, Swiss law provides that companies and entrepreneurs must keep their books and records available for at least 10 years for Federal income tax) and up to 20 years for VAT.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*



## Taxpayer failure to provide information

What actions may the tax authority take if the taxpayer does not provide the required information?

If the taxpayer does not provide the required documents or information, his or her taxable income, profit, wealth and capital are assessed based on a discretionary judgment called *Einschätzung nach pflichtgemäßem Ermessen* by the tax authorities. In view of the general burden-of-proof rules applicable in taxation matters providing that the tax authorities must support facts leading to (increased) taxation, and the taxpayer must support facts from which he or she derives a claim for a reduction of the tax burden (eg, deductions), the tax authorities typically only consider certain minimum deductions provided for by the law (eg, social deductions for children) in the context of their discretionary judgment.

Furthermore, the failure to meet the obligations to deliver certificates, provide information and meet reporting obligations may be punished with penalties.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## Protecting commercial information

How may taxpayers protect commercial information, including business secrets or professional advice, from disclosure? Is the tax authority subject to any restrictions concerning what it can do with the information disclosed?

An important restriction for tax authorities to enforce the disclosure of commercial information is set by the principle of proportionality. There is a balancing of interests between the protection of professional secrecy and the public interest in setting into effect lawful and equal taxation. Furthermore, from the perspective of reasonableness, it is permissible in particular to refuse to provide specific information (eg, client names within the framework of the taxation of an attorney) that falls under legal confidentiality.

The tax authorities are, generally, bound to the confidentiality obligation. Confidential information may only be sought based on a legal provision. Certain cantonal tax legislation provides for the possibility for interested persons to obtain, under specific circumstances, information on the tax factors of taxpayers resident in the respective canton. Such information rights can, to a large degree, be countered by the taxpayer by a formal data-blocking request.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## Alternative dispute resolution

What (if any) alternative dispute resolution (ADR) or settlement options are available

An internal objection against the tax authorities' assessment decision may be raised by the taxpayer in writing within 30 days. The objection is treated by the same tax authority.

Swiss domestic tax legislation does not provide for alternative dispute resolution procedures. Settlements with regard to taxable income, profits, wealth and capital are not permitted under Swiss law. Settlements may, however, be reached with the tax authorities with regard to the payment of taxes duly assessed and, in certain cases, in the context of a withdrawal of an objection.

Most of the Swiss double taxation agreements contain ADR mechanisms (competent authorities' agreement and mutual understanding procedures). Certain Swiss double-taxation agreements contain arbitration clauses.

**Collecting overdue payments****How may the tax authority collect overdue tax payments following a tax review?**

After an unsuccessful reminder, formal prosecution is initiated against the taxpayer by way of a regular debt enforcement procedure for overdue taxes and accrued interest for late payment. In this context, the final tax assessment is equal to an enforceable judgment so that the preliminary debt-enforcement procedures (eg, formal last invitation to pay) do not, by law, have to (but may out of courtesy) be undertaken by the tax authorities. Taxes related to real estate (eg, cantonal real estate capital gains taxes) are typically secured by a legal pledge that allows for direct enforcement of the claim by way of a realisation of the pledge.

Further to formal debt-enforcement measures, tax claims may be secured by pledges or guarantees, formal arrest, the refusal of deletion from the commercial registry of a liquidating entity from the commercial register and land register blockings. These measures should secure the taxpayer's Swiss assets, which may, at a later stage, serve as a basis for the enforcement and collection of the tax and interest claims.

Law stated - 01 July 2022

**Penalties - scope of application****In what circumstances may the tax authority impose penalties?**

Penalties may be imposed in cases of tax evasion and tax fraud but also for breach of procedural obligations (eg, failure to submit a tax return or meet declaration obligations).

Law stated - 01 July 2022

**Penalties – calculation****How are penalties calculated?**

According to Swiss criminal legislation principles, as a general rule, punishment is measured according to the degree of fault of the perpetrator. The court, in this context, takes into account the individual circumstances and the effect of punishment on the defendant's life. Penalties and fines in taxation cases are calculated according to the personal and economic circumstances of the offender at the time of the judgment; in particular by the income and wealth, living expenses, any possible family and support obligations and the subsistence level. Similar criteria are applied for fines imposed on entities. The penalties for income taxes (federal level) are structured as follows:

- According to legislation, fines for the breach of procedural obligations may amount to up to 1,000 Swiss francs in severe cases or in relapse cases up to 10,000 Swiss francs.
- In cases of tax evasion, the fine is, in principle, equal to the amount of tax evaded. It can be reduced to a third in case of a minor degree of fault and increased to up to three times the amount of tax for serious cases of fault. Criminal prosecution may be waived if the taxpayer undertakes a spontaneous voluntary disclosure. The scope for voluntary disclosure has narrowed, particularly for individuals, since the introduction of the automatic exchange of information.
- Tax fraud in income, corporate income, wealth and capital tax matters may be punished with imprisonment for up to three years or with a fine. Conditional imprisonment may be combined with a fine of up to 10,000 Swiss francs. Tax fraud under the Federal Criminal Code for Administrative Matters (VStrR) is generally sanctioned with

imprisonment for up to one year or fines up to 30,000 Swiss francs, with aggravation to imprisonment for up to five years combined with a fine, or a fine only.

Furthermore, specific penalty ranges will need to be considered for VAT and other federal, cantonal and communal tax matters.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## **Penalties – defences**

### **What defences are available if penalties are imposed?**

Under Swiss law, the offender may be punished only if, and insofar as, he or she can be held personally responsible for an offence. It requires a case-by-case analysis to determine whether incorrect advice may, therefore, serve as a justification for the offender.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## **Collecting and calculating interest**

### **In what circumstances may the tax authority collect interest and how is it calculated?**

Interest is payable if taxes are levied retroactively and if taxes are not paid within the deadlines set forth in tax legislation or provided in a formal order of the tax authorities.

The interest on federal income and corporate income taxes is fixed annually in the Federal Department of Finance's regulations on the due date and interest. For 2022, the interest rate amounts to 4 per cent a year. The obligation to pay interest starts 30 days after delivery of the definitive or provisional invoice or 30 days after the initial due date by procedure of supplementary tax.

The cantons determine their applicable default interest rates on an annual basis.

Within the covid-19 support measures implemented temporarily by the Swiss Federal Council in March 2020, interest has been temporarily waived on certain tax payments until 31 December 2020 and the tax authorities were tasked with treating requests for payment plans generously.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## **Criminal consequences**

### **Can criminal consequences arise as a result of tax non-compliance? Are these different for different types of taxpayers?**

If a tax review leads to an enforceable decision or judgment on tax evasion or tax fraud or the breach of procedural obligations, criminal consequences (ie, penalties – in exceptional cases, imprisonment) may apply.

Furthermore, in severe cases of tax fraud within the offender's professional or non-professional context, a ban on performing professional activities, typically in sectors exposed to financial topics, may be issued for a limited or unlimited period of time.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## Tax avoidance

### Are there specific rules or provisions regarding perceived tax avoidance?

In Switzerland, the mere non-declaration of taxable elements is considered, from a criminal-law point of view, an 'infringement', meaning that it can only be punished by way of a fine (which, for direct taxes, amount between one-third and three times the amount of taxes that have been evaded). In cases where serious amounts have not been disclosed during multiple fiscal periods, this may lead to severe tax evasion, which, in turn, allows the tax administration to override the bank secrecy, otherwise opposable to tax administration in tax matters. To qualify as severe tax evasion, the amount of taxes evaded per tax year have to exceed 300,000 Swiss francs and can be punished by way of imprisonment (up to three years) or a monetary penalty.

Tax evasion based on the use of fraudulent documents (such as falsified bank statements, balance sheets, contracts, etc, but not the tax return as this is not considered to be a document subject to forgery under Swiss law), which leads to qualified tax fraud, is an offence punishable by the same penalties as severe tax evasion (ie, up to three years imprisonment or a monetary penalty).

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## Enforcement record

### What is the recent enforcement record of the authorities?

In Switzerland, no official figures are published with regard to the cantonal authorities' enforcement records. Generally, the cantonal tax administrations handle between 4,000 and 6,000 procedures for tax evasion (including voluntary disclosure cases) each year.

At the federal level, according to the latest Federal Tax Administration report on criminal tax proceedings, in 2021 a total of approximately 6,000 proceedings have been initiated in direct federal tax matters, (of which over 90 per cent concerned natural persons, the rest being companies), which amounted to supplementary taxes and fines of around 136 million Swiss francs. These supplementary taxes and fines can vary quite a lot from one year to another, bringing in around 85 million francs in 2020, 222 million francs in 2019 and 25 million francs in 2018.

There were approximately 14,000 VAT audits during the 2021 tax year, bringing in a supplementary 278 million francs in revenue for the Swiss Confederation. In addition to VAT audits, the Federal Tax Administration also conducted audits in Verrechnungssteuer matters (federal withholding taxation mechanism) in approximately 1,200 companies on site, an additional approximately 10,000 balance sheet checks, bringing in additional revenue of 192 million francs for the 2021 tax year.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## THIRD PARTIES AND OTHER AUTHORITIES

### Third-party involvement with tax reviews

#### Can a tax authority involve third parties as part of the authority's review of a taxpayer's returns?

Third parties have certain attestation, information and notification obligations (eg, employer's salary certificate).

The authority performing a tax assessment is also entitled to investigate without the taxpayer's participation or consent. However, third parties, as opposed to the taxpayer, do not have a general obligation to cooperate in the evaluation of facts.

In case of refusal to provide the requested certificate or information, the third party may, after a reminder, be fined for violation of procedural obligations.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

### **Cooperation with other authorities**

Does the tax authority cooperate with other authorities within the country? Does the tax authority cooperate with the tax authorities in other countries? (Describe, for example, tax information exchange agreements.)

Cooperation and assistance differ depending on the scope:

- Within the country, the authorities implementing and enforcing the tax and further legislation assist each other in fulfilling their tasks: they provide the necessary information to the tax authorities and other federal authorities, the cantons, districts, counties and municipalities, and allow them to access the official file. The federal authorities and the authorities of the cantons, districts, counties and municipalities grant the authorities responsible for the enforcement of this law all information necessary upon request.
- International assistance in tax matters, from a Swiss domestic perspective, is governed by the Federal Act on Administrative Assistance in Tax Matters. This provides the regulations for the implementation of international administrative assistance in tax matters under the double-taxation agreements and other international agreements concluded by Switzerland that provide for specific information exchange upon request in tax matters (in particular, the Tax Information Exchange Agreements). The international exchange of information in tax matters is implemented and executed by the Swiss Federal Tax Administration, which provides assistance based on foreign requests and may also request information from foreign states' authorities.

Further to the exchange of information upon request, Switzerland has signed agreements with a number of partner countries and the EU on the introduction of the automatic exchange of information (AEOI and the Common Reporting Standard). The legal bases in Switzerland for the introduction of the AEOI, that is, the Mutual Assistance Agreement, the Multilateral Competent Authority Agreement and the Federal Act on the International Automatic Exchange of Information in Tax Matters, were adopted by the Federal Assembly in December 2015. Furthermore, the Federal Tax Administration has issued a guideline on a standard for the AEOI on financial accounts. A number of bilateral treaties and the agreement between Switzerland and the EU, as well as the Swiss domestic legislation on the AEOI, entered into force on 1 January 2017. Based on the treaties and the Swiss implementing legislation, Switzerland began to collect data in respect of financial assets and to exchange it in 2018. Switzerland has signed (and is expected to sign further) AEOI agreements with other countries. An updated list of the AEOI agreements negotiated or signed by Switzerland can be found on the website of the State Secretariat for International Financial Matters. Furthermore, Switzerland has agreed to exchange certain information that substantiates a suspicion that a taxpayer obtained an undue tax reduction.

According to data from the 2021 activity report of the Swiss Federal Tax Administration, in September 2021 the Swiss Federal Tax Administration exchanged information about bank accounts with a total of 96 partner-states, stemming from approximately 8,500 registered reporting Swiss financial institutions. A total of approximately 3.4 million bank accounts have been sent to foreign tax authorities and around 2.7 million have been sent from foreign tax authorities to the Swiss Federal Tax Administration.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## FINANCIAL OR OTHER HARDSHIP

### Voluntary disclosure and amnesties

Do any special procedures apply in cases of financial or other hardship, for example when a taxpayer is bankrupt?

If it can be demonstrated that the payment of the tax will lead to great hardship for a taxpayer as a result of an emergency or exceptional situation, the tax imposed may be waived fully or partially. This does not apply to taxes levied in retroactive taxation procedures or to penalties.

If the timely payment of taxes, interest and costs or penalties for a transgression causes considerable hardship for the taxpayer, the competent authority may extend the payment deadline or grant payment in instalments upon the taxpayer's request. The granting of payment facilities may be subject to reasonable securitisation. Extensions of payment deadlines were frequently used within the context of the covid-19 crisis.

Requests for tax abatement and tax payment deferral must be placed in writing with the competent authorities.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

### Are there any voluntary disclosure or amnesty programmes?

Individuals and business entities have the opportunity to file a voluntary disclosure once in their lifetime or existence. The voluntary disclosure and amnesty benefits are only available if the tax authority had no knowledge of the offence, the taxpayer fully supports the administration in determining the correct tax and, in the end, pays all outstanding taxes and interest.

As the main feature in voluntary disclosure proceedings, no penalties will be imposed on the taxpayer, but the taxpayer will only be required to retroactively pay the taxes due for 10 tax periods or, in inheritance cases, three tax periods, plus interest for late payment. Furthermore, voluntary disclosure prevents criminal proceedings for related criminal offences (eg, falsification of documents or accounts). Since the introduction of the automatic exchange of information (AEOI), the scope to achieve a voluntary disclosure without penalties has narrowed, particularly where bank accounts abroad have to be declared retroactively, as such accounts are typically deemed to be known to tax authorities already. However, it is still recommended that taxpayers approach the tax authorities proactively to be eligible to benefit from penalty reductions.

A procedure similar to voluntary disclosure is also available in inheritance cases (to be undertaken by the heirs) and for assets not included in estate inventories. Within such a procedure, no penalties will be imposed, but the heirs will be required to pay the taxes due for the three tax years prior to the year of demise of the (former) taxpayer.

Further to the voluntary disclosure instruments available in income and wealth tax matters, similar voluntary disclosure instruments exist (eg, for VAT matters).

To put in perspective, there have been a total of approximately 130,000 voluntary disclosures during the last 10 years and approx. 65 per cent of those have been done after the introduction of the automatic exchange of information in 2018 (due to the impossibility of doing it afterwards because the administration is assumed to already be aware of the existence of undeclared bank accounts).

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## RIGHTS OF TAXPAYERS

### Rules protecting taxpayers

What rules are in place to protect taxpayers when dealing with the tax authority?

Aside from the remedies that the taxpayer may raise in relation to court or within the assessing tax authorities, the taxpayer is protected by the general procedural rules for administrative procedures, in particular the secrecy obligation of persons and authorities entrusted with enforcing the tax legislation, and the right to refuse insight into official files to third parties.

To protect the taxpayer in the context of the assessment and enforcement of taxes, Swiss tax legislation is governed by the investigation principle, the requirement for the authorities to determine the relevant facts, the application of law ex officio, the principle of proportionality and the taxpayer's right to be heard. Furthermore, orders must be provided with a right of appeal and the taxpayer's rights to contest the order must be formally stated on the order.

Swiss tax legislation, particularly in the criminal law context, is based on the taxpayer's right to equal and fair treatment in the process, the right to a fair hearing, the right to legal aid and judgment and the right to an effective remedy.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

### Requesting information from tax authority

How can taxpayers obtain information from the tax authority? What information can taxpayers request?

Information can be received from the tax authorities in two ways:

- Taxpayers may seek an advance tax ruling from the competent tax authorities. In the tax ruling, the competent tax authority provides binding information on the tax treatment of the described fact patterns according to the applicable legislation. Tax-ruling requests should be submitted in writing and must be submitted and typically confirmed by the tax authorities in advance, that is, before the described facts materialise. Tax rulings must not include agreements with the tax authorities on tax treatment if a case of the treatment contradicts the legal provisions: an illegal tax agreement. To give an idea of the quantities involved, the Federal Tax Administration processes approximately 6,000 ruling requests (only in Verrechnungssteuer and VAT matters) each year, of which around 91 per cent are answered within 30 days. There are no numbers available for cantonal tax authorities but based on the competences assigned to them, the number of ruling requests treated by all cantons most likely exceeds by far the number of ruling requests treated by the Federal Tax Administration.
- Taxpayers may inspect the files they have submitted to the tax authorities or they have signed with regard to the tax authorities. Spouses taxed jointly are also entitled to inspect the other spouse's files for the tax years for which they are taxed jointly. In certain cases, heirs have the right to inspect the decedent's files with the tax authorities. The right to inspect files will normally be granted only once the fact-finding has been completed by the tax authorities and if no private or public interests are opposed.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

### Oversight of tax authority governance

Is the tax authority subject to non-judicial oversight?

The cantonal tax authorities are under administrative oversight in accordance with the respective cantonal legislation. For the application of federal legislation, the cantonal tax authorities are, furthermore, supervised by the Federal Tax Administration.

The Federal Tax Administration is supervised by the Federal Department of Finance.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## COURT PROCEEDINGS

### Competent courts

Which courts have jurisdiction to hear tax disputes?

Tax disputes are initially treated within the assessing tax authority in the course of the objection procedure. For subsequent court proceedings, the cantons are obliged by federal legislation to provide at least one judicial court instance for tax disputes (eg, the tax recourse court or tax recourse commission). The cantons may provide for a second judicial court instance in tax matters – typically a division of the cantonal administrative court.

On the federal level, the Federal Supreme Court has jurisdiction for tax matters, whereby the Federal Administrative Court is interposed for certain tax-related matters with regard to international administrative assistance or taxes levied at a federal level (eg, VAT and withholding taxes).

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

### Lodging a claim

How can tax disputes be brought before the courts?

Swiss tax legislation provides for a multi-layered court system as follows:

- The taxpayer may raise an objection against the assessment notice within 30 days after notification by the assessment authority. The objection is submitted to the tax assessment authority itself and may contest the assessment order, the declaratory order on tax liability and exemption, the audit decision, the supplementary tax order, the decision regarding a fine, the liability order, the decision regarding a pledge, the decision regarding the recovery of paid tax amounts, the decision of the reimbursement of real estate gains tax, the decision concerning the refund of withholding tax, tax at source and the order concerning a reminder fee. The objection may be submitted by the taxpayer. In the objection, the objector has a claim to unlimited review of the assessment decision and the annulment of reported deficiencies. It is free of charge but may also lead to a decision in pejo (ie, not following the taxpayer's request and therefore worsening the taxpayer's position). However, legitimacy goes even further and applies to all those persons who have been assessed with the assessment order for the tax in question.
- The taxpayer may raise a complaint to the independent (first or second) judicial instance against the objection decision from the assessment authority within 30 days after notification in writing. Those entitled to raise the complaint are the taxpayer and other individuals who are affected by the respective order and have a legitimate interest in the annulment.

Objections and complaints must be submitted in writing. There is no minimum threshold amount for claims.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*



## Combination of claims

### Can tax claims affecting multiple tax returns or taxpayers be brought together?

Under Swiss legislation, tax claims affecting multiple tax periods are, at least formally, not combined in administrative and court proceedings. However, in practice, procedures relating to tax claims from one year or from multiple tax periods are regularly combined either ex officio or upon request.

Spouses and minor children are taxed jointly, so that tax claims brought forward by the tax authorities are formally addressed to both spouses. However, any spouse is entitled to take procedural steps, such as raising objections, independently. The objection raised by one spouse also takes effect for the other spouse. In principle, communities of heirs are, under Swiss legislation, not taxed jointly, but every heir's share of the estate is allocated to his or her own taxation sphere as of the decedent's demise. If heirs are, nevertheless, affected jointly by a taxation (eg, for the decedent's taxation until his or her demise, or for real estate held jointly), the heirs are also entitled to raise objections individually, but with effect also for the other heirs.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## Pre-claim payments

### Must the taxpayer pay the amounts in dispute into court before bringing a claim?

Tax amounts become due during the relevant tax period for cantonal and communal income, wealth, corporate income and capital taxes, and shortly after the relevant tax period for federal income and corporate income taxes, and, in any case, once they are determined in a tax assessment order. Interest for late payment is levied after the payment due date. The submission of an objection or complaint does not interrupt the payment timelines and it is generally recommended to pay the disputed tax, despite court proceedings, in order to avoid interest charges for late payment if the proceedings are not successful. Overpaid taxes are refundable or credited in favour of the taxpayer if the tax is reassessed, for example, after a court decision.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## Cost recovery

### To what extent can the costs of a dispute be recovered?

The costs (procedural costs and administrative fees as well as costs for legal representation) of a dispute are, generally, imposed on the losing party by the court and, in certain circumstances, by the tax authorities. The costs may be divided between the parties if the dispute leads to a judgment partially in favour of one party. The applicable federal or cantonal legislation may allow the court to require procedural costs to be paid in advance, for example, by the claimant or by the taxpayer, in order to accept the case for trial. In specific circumstances, the court may also waive the costs.

A final cost assignment issued by a court is, generally, enforceable by means of ordinary debt enforcement procedures.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## Third-party funding

## Are there any restrictions on or rules relating to third-party funding or insurance for the costs of a tax dispute, including bringing a tax claim to court?

Swiss legislation and practice do not contain any restrictions with regard to process financing via insurance solutions or third-party funding. The cost of tax disputes may be covered by legal protection insurances concluded by a certain number of Swiss resident taxpayers. However, the scope of coverage of such legal protection insurances is to be reviewed on a case-by-case basis to determine whether tax disputes are included or explicitly excluded from coverage.

Under the Swiss legislation on the professional behaviour of lawyers, it is not permitted for a lawyer to finance a tax dispute indirectly via purely success-based compensation.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## Availability of jury trials

### Who is the decision maker in the court? Is a jury trial available to hear tax disputes?

Swiss courts usually sit as a panel of three or five judges, depending on the applicable federal or cantonal legislation. Swiss legislation does not provide for jury trials.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## Time frames

### What are the usual time frames for tax hearings?

The duration of a tax trial varies depending on the court and the complexity of the dispute in question.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## Disclosure requirements

### What are the requirements concerning disclosure or a duty to present information for trial?

The taxpayer is obliged to do everything possible to allow for a complete and correct assessment, generally during the assessment procedure but, de facto, also in court. Information may, in this context, be requested in written or oral (interview) form. In accordance with general criminal law principles, no taxpayer may be constrained to accuse him or herself in criminal proceedings.

Within the income and corporate income tax assessment procedure, the law specifically mentions the obligation of employees to file their payroll accounting and account statements regarding any payments received as directors or other official administrative office-holders of a legal entity. Furthermore, the same provisions oblige individuals to provide statements of their securities, outstanding loans and their debt. Legal entities and self-employed individuals must file their balance sheet and profit and loss statements. Legal entities have an obligation to show the development of their equity, including capital contribution reserves. Every taxpayer has the duty to file a tax return.

Taxpayers who are subject to Swiss VAT must keep records of all relevant transactions, and store bills and accounts for such transactions for up to 20 years. They must provide a statement of all relevant transactions to the Swiss VAT authority within 60 days after the end of each declaration period.

Income from Swiss sources that is subject to the Swiss withholding tax must be declared in the Swiss resident income-

recipient's tax return as income to be eligible for a refund of the withholding tax. Taxpayers are entitled to inspect the files they have submitted to the tax authorities or have signed regarding the tax authorities. The right to inspect files will normally be granted only once fact-finding has been completed by the tax authorities and if no private or public interests are opposed.

In general, during a trial, but also in the course of the assessment procedure, the burden of proof for tax-increasing assertions is upon the tax authorities. However, if there is a lack of proof caused by the taxpayer's insufficient cooperation, natural assumptions are put in place. Such assumptions shift the burden of proof to the taxpayer. Furthermore, the taxpayer has the burden of proof for assertions reducing his or her tax burden.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## **Permitted evidence**

### **What evidence is permitted in tax hearings?**

In a tax trial, the facts may be established based on documents, written or oral information provided by the taxpayer, information or testimony from third parties, visual inspections and reports.

According to the federal legislation on criminal proceedings generally, everybody is obliged to give testimony. However, exceptions apply in certain cases for professional secrecy holders (these, typically, are required to seek a suspension of their professional secrecy for the proceedings). Furthermore, no one may be constrained to accuse him or herself in criminal proceedings.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## **Permitted representation**

### **Who can represent taxpayers in a tax trial? Who represents the tax authority?**

Under Swiss legislation, tax procedures and trials are not restricted by the requirement of professional representation of the taxpayer. The taxpayer may represent him or herself in the tax assessment, objection and complaint procedures, with regard to the authorities and in court (including the Federal Supreme Court). Any party to an assessment, objection or complaint procedure may, however, be represented by a person capable of acting in the process, and it is customary and advisable to be represented, at least for complex cases, by a professional. For certain criminal proceedings, the defendant is obliged to be professionally represented by an attorney-at-law admitted to a cantonal bar.

State aid to cover the procedural and representation costs will be granted based on constitutional grounds if a party does not have the necessary resources and its legal request does not appear unsuccessful.

Depending on the complexity and exposure of the case in question, the tax authorities represent themselves in tax proceedings before the courts or mandate external specialists. In criminal proceedings, the tax authorities are, typically, represented by the prosecutor.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## **Publicity of proceedings**

### **Are tax hearings public?**

Tax assessment and tax objection procedures are non-public procedures. Cantonal legislation governs the publicity of complaint procedures to the cantonal judicial instances. Oral hearings in complaint procedures on the level of the

Federal Supreme Court are public unless the specific interests of the taxpayer would be offended.

Trial proceedings in criminal matters (eg, in the context of alleged tax fraud) are governed by the federal criminal procedure legislation and are generally public.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## **Burden of proof**

### **Who has the burden of proof in tax hearings?**

In accordance with the general principles as set out in the Swiss Civil Code and as applied also in tax matters, any party must prove the existence of a fact from which it derives a claim or right in its favour. In consequence, in taxation matters, for any circumstances that aim to reduce the taxpayer's tax burden (eg, income tax deductions), the taxpayer bears the burden of proof. Conversely, the tax authorities bear the burden of proof regarding any facts that lead to the existence or increase of a taxpayer's tax burden.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## **Case management process**

### **What is the case management process for a tax hearing?**

Swiss legislation and practice do not provide for specific case management rules in tax trials. Tax trials are governed by the applicable procedural legislation.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## **Appeal**

### **Can a court decision be appealed? If so, on what basis?**

Swiss tax legislation provides for a multi-layered court system.

Income tax assessment orders may be contested by the taxpayer by an objection in writing to the assessing authority within 30 days after notification of the order. The objection need not contain a statement of reasons. An objection against an assessment order based on a discretionary judgment must include evidence showing that the assessment is obviously incorrect. The objection procedure is free of charge for the taxpayer. The tax authorities' decision in the objection procedure can be contested by a complaint raised by the taxpayer in writing to the respective (first) cantonal judicial instance (eg, recourse commission) within 30 days of notification of the decision. Exceptionally, and if all the involved parties agree, an objection may also be treated directly as an advanced complaint. The complaint is subject to fees in accordance with the applicable cantonal legislation. The complaint must include a request and the relevant facts, must specify the relevant evidence and include, or at least specify in detail, the relevant evidence material (documentation). The complaint may concern all aspects of the contested decision and the previous procedure.

The decision rendered by the cantonal judicial instance may be challenged by the taxpayer or the cantonal tax authorities by a complaint to a further cantonal judicial instance (typically an administrative court). The complaint is subject to fees in accordance with the applicable cantonal legislation and must fulfil the same formal requirements as a complaint filed to the cantonal judicial instance. Proceedings before the cantonal court are often subject to a ban on bringing new facts and evidence.

The decision rendered by the (first) cantonal judicial instance or, if applicable, the further cantonal judicial instance may

be challenged by the taxpayer or the cantonal tax authorities by a complaint in administrative matters to the Federal Supreme Court. The complaint is subject to fees in accordance with the applicable federal legislation. There are strict rules about substantiation requirements for complaint submissions to the Federal Supreme Court. The Federal Supreme Court generally does not decide on the facts and circumstances but rules on errors of law. Proceedings are subject to a ban on bringing new facts and evidence.

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## UPDATE AND TRENDS

### Key developments of the past year

What are the current trends in enforcement of tax controversies? What are the current concerns of the authorities and taxpayers in relation to the enforcement and handling of tax controversies and are these likely to change? Are there proposals to change the relevant legislation or other rules?

The past few years have shown an increasing trend towards tax litigation. Whereas tax litigation historically was an ultima ratio measure for many taxpayers and also the authorities, the number of cases where opposing views are not settled between taxpayers and the tax authorities in the course of the tax assessment procedure but are brought before courts has increased. The following trends can be seen.

- From the introduction of the automatic exchange of information and, with this, increased transparency in cross-border situations, the enhanced transparency increases the tax authorities' opportunities and possibilities to enforce taxpayers' filing and taxation obligations.
- It is expected that in view of the considerable expenses incurred by the Swiss federal, cantonal and municipal governments in the context of the covid-19 crisis, the Swiss tax authorities will increase their efforts to levy and collect taxes.
- The Federal Act on Tax Reform and AHV Financing entered into force on 1 January 2020. With the implementation of the reform, controversies and tax litigation may increase, particularly in the context of corporate taxation.

Further to the increasing trend towards litigation in tax matters, social security authorities also pursue the respective contribution duties in an increasing number of cases.

### New electronic procedures for tax matters

The Swiss Federal Council has decided to implement an electronic procedure for tax matters in November 2021, which regulates electronic procedures in all tax areas. This act provides for the possibility for the Federal Council to compel companies to communicate electronically with the Federal Tax Administration, makes it mandatory for the cantons to provide for an electronic procedure in addition to the written procedure. A staggered entry into force is foreseen for the implementation of the law. The obligation for companies to communicate electronically with the Federal Tax Administration has been in force since 1 January 2022 as well as the new regulations brought about by the adaptation of cantonal legislation (for which cantons have the usual two-year period to implement these changes into their respective legislation).

*Law stated - 01 July 2022*

## Jurisdictions

	<b>Australia</b>	Gadens
	<b>Austria</b>	bpv Hügel Rechtsanwälte GmbH
	<b>Canada</b>	McCarthy Tétrault LLP
	<b>Ecuador</b>	CorralRosales
	<b>European Union</b>	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
	<b>Germany</b>	Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer
	<b>Greece</b>	Dryllerakis & Associates
	<b>Ireland</b>	Matheson
	<b>Italy</b>	Chiomenti Studio Legale
	<b>Japan</b>	Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune
	<b>Luxembourg</b>	Arendt & Medernach
	<b>Netherlands</b>	De Brauw Blackstone Westbroek
	<b>Norway</b>	KPMG Law
	<b>Portugal</b>	Durham Agrellos
	<b>Spain</b>	Ashurst LLP
	<b>Switzerland</b>	Bär & Karrer
	<b>Taiwan</b>	Chien Yeh Law Offices
	<b>Ukraine</b>	GOLAW
	<b>United Arab Emirates</b>	Wasel & Wasel Ltd
	<b>United Kingdom</b>	Macfarlanes LLP