



ICLG

The International Comparative Legal Guide to:

Litigation & Dispute Resolution 2016

9th Edition

A practical cross-border insight into litigation and dispute resolution work

Published by Global Legal Group, in association with CDR, with contributions from:

A.G. Erotocritou LLC
Advokatfirman Vinge
Bae, Kim & Lee LLC
Bär & Karrer AG
Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP
Boga & Associates
Brian Kahn Inc. Attorneys
BROSETA
Casahierro Abogados
Clayton Utz
Cyril Amarchand Mangaldas
DLA Piper LLP (US)
Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP
Eric Silwamba, Jalasi and Linyama Legal Practitioners
Gleiss Lutz
Gürlich & Co.
Hamdan AlShamsi Lawyers & Legal Consultants
Jenner & Block
Jones Walker LLP
Kentuadei Adefe, Legal Practitioners, Mediators & Arbitrators
KG Law Firm
Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP
King & Wood Mallesons LLP
Kruk & Partners Law Firm
Lennox Paton
Loyens & Loeff Luxembourg S.à r.l.
McCann FitzGerald
Motieka & Audzevičius
Munari Cavani Studio Legale
New Balkans Law Office
N-Advogados – Nuno Albuquerque, Deolinda Ribas, Sociedade de Advogados, R.L.
Oblin Melichar
Ovalle Letelier Gazzana & Bulnes Abogados
Polenak Law Firm
Portilla, Ruy-Díaz & Aguilar, S.C.
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
Rogério Alves & Associados – Sociedade de Advogados, R.L.
Sam Okudzeto & Associates
Shipman & Goodwin LLP
Sysouev, Bondar, Khrapoutski SBH
Trusted Advisors
Waselius & Wist
Wolff Gstöhl Bruckschweiger Advokaturbüro
Zamfirescu Racoti & Partners Attorneys at Law





global legal group

Contributing Editor
Greg Lascelles, King & Wood Mallesons LLP

Head of Business Development
Dror Levy

Sales Director
Florjan Osmani

Account Directors
Oliver Smith, Rory Smith

Senior Account Manager
Maria Lopez

Sales Support Manager
Toni Hayward

Editor
Caroline Collingwood

Senior Editor
Suzie Levy

Group Consulting Editor
Alan Falach

Group Publisher
Richard Firth

Published by
Global Legal Group Ltd.
59 Tanner Street
London SE1 3PL, UK
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

GLG Cover Design
F&F Studio Design

GLG Cover Image Source
iStockphoto

Printed by
Stephens & George
Print Group
February 2016

Copyright © 2016
Global Legal Group Ltd.
All rights reserved
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-910083-81-9
ISSN 1755-1889

Strategic Partners



General Chapters:

1	Privilege: An International Perspective – Christian Tuddenham & Michele L. Slachetka, Jenner & Block	1
2	Vicarious Liability – Greg Lascelles & Alasdair Glass, King & Wood Mallesons LLP	6

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

3	Albania	Boga & Associates: Gerhard Velaj & Elona Hoxhaj	10
4	Angola	N-Advogados – Nuno Albuquerque, Deolinda Ribas, Sociedade de Advogados, R.L.: Nuno Albuquerque & Conceição Manita	17
5	Australia	Clayton Utz: Colin Loveday & Scott Grahame	25
6	Austria	Oblin Melichar: Dr. Klaus Oblin	34
7	Belarus	Sysouev, Bondar, Khrapoutski SBH: Timour Sysouev & Alexandre Khrapoutski	41
8	British Virgin Islands	Lennox Paton: Scott Cruickshank & Matthew Freeman	52
9	Bulgaria	New Balkans Law Office: Kamen Shoylev & Yordan Neshkov	65
10	Canada	Blake, Cassels & Graydon LLP: Ryder Gilliland & Peter Smiley	73
11	Chile	Ovalle Letelier Gazzana & Bulnes Abogados: Esteban Ovalle Andrade & Gianfranco Gazzana Berenguer	81
12	Cyprus	A.G. Erotocritou LLC: Andreas Erotocritou & Antreas Koualis	89
13	Czech Republic	Gürlich & Co.: Richard Gürlich & Katerina Benasova	98
14	England & Wales	King & Wood Mallesons LLP: Greg Lascelles & Alasdair Glass	105
15	Finland	Waselius & Wist: Tanja Jussila & Mats Forsius	116
16	Germany	Gleiss Lutz: Claudia Krapfl & Lars Markert	123
17	Ghana	Sam Okudzeto & Associates: Esine Okudzeto & Naa Amorkor Amarteifio	131
18	Greece	KG Law Firm: John C. Kyriakides & Ersi C. Michailidou	138
19	India	Cyril Amarchand Mangaldas: Shaneen Parikh	148
20	Ireland	McCann FitzGerald: Seán Barton & Megan Hooper	156
21	Italy	Munari Cavani Studio Legale: Raffaele Cavani & Bruna Alessandra Fossati	165
22	Korea	Bae, Kim & Lee LLC: Kap-You (Kevin) Kim & John P. Bang	174
23	Liechtenstein	Wolff Gstöhl Bruckschweiger Advokaturbüro: Christoph Bruckschweiger & Karl Mumelter	181
24	Lithuania	Motieka & Audzevičius: Ramūnas Audzevičius & Mantas Juozaitis	188
25	Luxembourg	Loyens & Loeff Luxembourg S.à r.l.: Véronique Hoffeld & Gilles Barbabianca	196
26	Macedonia	Polenak Law Firm: Tatjana Popovski Buloski & Aleksandar Dimic	203
27	Mexico	Portilla, Ruy-Díaz & Aguilar, S.C.: Carlos Fernando Portilla Robertson & Enrique Valdespino Pastrana	212
28	Nigeria	Kentuadei Adefe, Legal Practitioners, Mediators & Arbitrators: Kentuadei Adefe & Ottah Nelson	221
29	Peru	Casahierro Abogados: Javier Lozada Paz	229
30	Poland	Kruk & Partners Law Firm: Aleksandra Matwiejko-Demusjak & Jarosław Kruk	237
31	Portugal	Rogério Alves & Associados – Sociedade de Advogados, R.L.: Rogério Alves	247
32	Romania	Zamfirescu Racoți & Partners Attorneys at Law: Cosmin Vasile & Alina Tugearu	255
33	Russia	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP: Ivan Marisin & Vasily Kuznetsov	263
34	South Africa	Brian Kahn Inc. Attorneys: Brian Kahn & Nicqui Galaktiou	270
35	Spain	BROSETA: Patricia Gualde Capó & Alfonso Carrillo Cano	277

Continued Overleaf ➔

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.



Country Question and Answer Chapters:

36	Sweden	Advokatfirman Vinge: Krister Azelius & Lina Bergqvist	286
37	Switzerland	Bär & Karrer AG: Matthew Reiter & Simone Fuchs	293
38	Ukraine	Trusted Advisors: Ivan Mishchenko & Ivan Lishchyna	301
39	United Arab Emirates	Hamdan AlShamsi Lawyers & Legal Consultants: Hamdan Al Shamsi	311
40	USA – California	DLA Piper LLP (US): Cedric Chao & Kellin Chatfield	318
41	USA – Connecticut	Shipman & Goodwin LLP: Frederick S. Gold & Diane C. Polletta	329
42	USA – Florida	DLA Piper LLP (US): Angela Crawford & J. Trumon Phillips	338
43	USA – Georgia	Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP: Julie Lierly & Caroline Spangenberg	349
44	USA – Illinois	Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP: Justin O. Kay & Elizabeth V. Lopez	357
45	USA – Louisiana	Jones Walker LLP: Michael C. Drew	366
46	USA – Mississippi	Jones Walker LLP: Neville H. Boschert	373
47	USA – New Jersey	Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP: Andrew B. Joseph & William A. Wright	380
48	USA – New York	Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP: Clay J. Pierce & Marsha J. Indych	387
49	USA – Pennsylvania	Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP: Michael W. McTigue Jr. & Jennifer B. Dempsey	395
50	USA – Texas	Jones Walker LLP: Lauren J. Harrison	402
51	Zambia	Eric Silwamba, Jalasi and Linyama Legal Practitioners: Joseph Alexander Jalasi, Jr. & Lubinda Linyama	409

EDITORIAL

Welcome to the ninth edition of *The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Litigation & Dispute Resolution*.

This guide provides corporate counsel and international practitioners with a comprehensive worldwide legal analysis of the laws and regulations of litigation and dispute resolution.

It is divided into two main sections:

Two general chapters. These are designed to provide readers with a comprehensive overview of key issues affecting litigation and dispute resolution work.

Country question and answer chapters. These provide a broad overview of common issues in litigation and dispute resolution in 49 jurisdictions, with the USA being sub-divided into 11 separate state-specific chapters.

All chapters are written by leading litigation and dispute resolution lawyers and industry specialists, and we are extremely grateful for their excellent contributions.

Special thanks are reserved for the contributing editor Greg Lascelles of King & Wood Mallesons LLP for his invaluable assistance.

Global Legal Group hopes that you find this guide practical and interesting.

The International Comparative Legal Guide series is also available online at www.iclg.co.uk.

Alan Falach LL.M.
 Group Consulting Editor
 Global Legal Group
Alan.Falach@glgroup.co.uk

Switzerland

Bär & Karrer AG

Matthew Reiter



Simone Fuchs



I. LITIGATION

1 Preliminaries

1.1 What type of legal system has your jurisdiction got? Are there any rules that govern civil procedure in your jurisdiction?

Switzerland is a civil law jurisdiction. Accordingly, the primary sources of legal authority are written codes and statutes, whereas case law is of less importance than in common law jurisdictions.

Civil procedure in Switzerland is primarily governed by the Swiss Code of Civil Procedure (“SCCP”). The SCCP comprehensively governs civil procedure in Switzerland and domestic arbitration proceedings. Further important sources of civil procedure are the Swiss Federal Act on Private International Law (“PILA”) and the Lugano Convention on Jurisdiction and the Recognition and Enforcement of Judgments in Civil and Commercial Matters of 30 October 2007 (“Lugano Convention”) dealing with the question of jurisdiction in cross-border matters. Moreover, The PILA regulates international arbitrations with a seat in Switzerland.

1.2 How is the civil court system in your jurisdiction structured? What are the various levels of appeal and are there any specialist courts?

Generally speaking, the Swiss court system consists of three layers of instances: the courts of first instance (at a cantonal level); the upper courts (second instance; also at a cantonal level); and the Swiss Federal Supreme Court as the third and last instance. In exceptional cases, however, a single instance (e.g. the upper court or a specialist court) decides a dispute on the cantonal level (with the possibility to appeal to the Federal Supreme Court). The structure of the (first and second instance) civil court system varies from canton to canton.

In general, cantonal courts have jurisdiction in all areas of the law, including federal law. Cantons are, however, free to have specialist courts such as a court for labour law matters, a court for landlords and tenants, and specialised commercial courts. While most cantons have specialist courts for labour and tenant law matters, only Zurich, Bern, St. Gallen and Aargau have a commercial court. In addition, the Federal Patent Court decides all civil law disputes concerning patents on a first instance level.

The Federal Supreme Court, as Switzerland’s highest court, safeguards the application of federal and constitutional law. Proceedings before the Swiss Federal Supreme Court are governed by the Swiss Federal Tribunal Act.

1.3 What are the main stages in civil proceedings in your jurisdiction? What is their underlying timeframe?

Generally, proceedings before a court of first instance can be divided into the following stages:

- Presentation of the facts and legal arguments (usually in the form of two rounds of written submissions). Together with their pleadings, the parties must also file documentary evidence and offer any other evidence (e.g., witness testimony) on which they rely.
- Taking of evidence by the court to the extent that evidence other than documentary evidence has been named. Before evidence is taken, the court indicates the admissible evidence and designates the party bearing the burden of proof. The taking of evidence takes place during an oral hearing. Thereafter, the parties may comment on the result of the taking of evidence.
- Issuance of the judgment.

Courts may also hold instruction hearings at any time during the proceedings. Such hearings are mainly held to prepare for the main hearing or to facilitate a settlement.

The average length of litigation before first instance courts is between one and two years in commercial cases, and approximately up to one year in simpler cases before specialist courts for labour law and for landlord and tenant matters. In complex cases, the duration of the proceedings may be longer.

1.4 What is your jurisdiction’s local judiciary’s approach to exclusive jurisdiction clauses?

Domestic and foreign parties may agree on the court that shall have jurisdiction *ratione loci* over an existing or future pecuniary dispute (“*vermögensrechtliche Streitigkeit*”) arising from a particular legal relationship. Unless the parties’ agreement provides otherwise, the agreed court’s jurisdiction is exclusive. The parties’ freedom to agree on the court competent *ratione loci* is excluded or limited in a few instances only.

The designated Swiss court must honour an exclusive jurisdiction clause, unless none of the parties is domiciled in a Member State of the Lugano Convention and the law applicable to the merits of the case is not Swiss law.

1.5 What are the costs of civil court proceedings in your jurisdiction? Who bears these costs? Are there any rules on costs budgeting?

Court fees and attorneys' fees are regulated by the cantons individually. In Switzerland, litigation costs are generally reasonable. In pecuniary disputes the court and attorneys' fees mainly depend on the amount in dispute. Other factors, such as the type and course of the proceedings and the complexity of the case, are also taken into consideration. Swiss courts may order a claimant to make an advance payment up to the amount of the expected court costs.

In general, all expenses arising from the litigation are to be borne by the losing party. If no party fully prevails, the court will divide the costs proportionally between the parties.

There are no rules on costs budgeting.

1.6 Are there any particular rules about funding litigation in your jurisdiction? Are contingency fee/conditional fee arrangements permissible? What are the rules pertaining to security for costs?

Agreements on contingency fees are not permissible for proceedings before Swiss courts. On the other hand, incentive payments can be agreed as long as the hourly fee covers the attorney's costs.

As regards security for costs, in certain cases and upon the respondent's request, Swiss courts may order the claimant to provide security for the respondent's attorneys' fees. This may be the case if the claimant has no residence in Switzerland, appears to be insolvent or owes costs from previous proceedings. To the extent, however, the Hague Convention of 1954 on Civil Procedure or of 1980 on International Access to Justice or other treaties apply which forbid security for costs for the sole reason of a claimant's foreign domicile, Swiss courts cannot order a claimant to provide security for costs on that ground.

1.7 Are there any constraints to assigning a claim or cause of action in your jurisdiction? Is it permissible for a non-party to litigation proceedings to finance those proceedings?

In general, the assignment of a claim is permitted and valid, unless one of the following exceptions apply:

- In a few instances, the law forbids the assignment (mainly with regard to employment contracts, claims of the borrower or tenant regarding the usage of the leased item or claims connected to a person's status as heir).
- The parties agreed that a claim shall not be assigned.
- Moreover, an assignment is prohibited if a claim is so closely connected to the person of the assignor that an assignment would significantly alter the existence, the content or the purpose of the claim.

The Swiss Federal Supreme Court in principle allowed litigation funding through a third party. It is important to note, however, that litigation funding must not unduly interfere in the client-attorney relationship. The attorney's independence needs to be ensured at all times.

2 Before Commencing Proceedings

2.1 Is there any particular formality with which you must comply before you initiate proceedings?

The SCCP generally requires a claimant to initiate conciliation proceedings before filing a claim with the first instance court. There are several exceptions to this rule, for example in summary proceedings, or if a dispute falls within the jurisdiction of a commercial court. Instead of conducting conciliation proceedings, the parties may agree to mediate.

If no amicable settlement is reached, the conciliation authority grants a temporary authorisation to proceed with the claim ("*Klagebewilligung*"). Generally speaking, a claimant must file the claim with the competent court within three months from the date of notification of this authorisation. Once the authorisation expires, the claimant must commence new conciliation proceedings if it wishes to pursue the claim.

2.2 What limitation periods apply to different classes of claim for the bringing of proceedings before your civil courts? How are they calculated? Are time limits treated as a substantive or procedural law issue?

Swiss law treats limitation periods as a substantive law issue. The general limitation period for contract claims is 10 years from the date of maturity. However, for certain types of contractual claims, the limitation period is five years (e.g., claims for periodic payments or claims of employees) or less (e.g., two years for warranty claims under a contract for the sale of goods).

Tort claims become time-barred one year after the aggrieved party obtained knowledge of the damage and of the tortfeasor. In any event, such claims are time-barred 10 years after the occurrence of the damaging event. The same limitation period applies to claims based on unjust enrichment.

3 Commencing Proceedings

3.1 How are civil proceedings commenced (issued and served) in your jurisdiction? What various means of service are there? What is the deemed date of service? How is service effected outside your jurisdiction? Is there a preferred method of service of foreign proceedings in your jurisdiction?

Proceedings are commenced by the claimant submitting the statement of claim with the court. In Switzerland, the courts take care of the service of submissions of the opposing party, summons, rulings and other decisions. Service of summons, rulings and other decisions are effected by (registered) mail or other means against confirmation of receipt. Other documents may be served by regular mail. With the consent of the person concerned, service may also be effected electronically.

Service is accomplished when the document has been received by the addressee or an authorised person. Service is also deemed to have been effected on the seventh day after the failed attempt to serve a registered letter, or on the day of refusal to accept service in case of personal service.

Swiss courts can instruct foreign parties to provide a domicile for service in Switzerland. If service must be effected outside Switzerland, the channels of judicial assistance as per the Hague Conventions of 1954 and 1965 or other treaties must be used.

3.2 Are any pre-action interim remedies available in your jurisdiction? How do you apply for them? What are the main criteria for obtaining these?

In order to secure monetary claims, a creditor can seek to attach the debtor's assets in accordance with the Federal Debt Collection and Bankruptcy Act ("DEBA"). The creditor must show to the court that, *prima facie*:

- the creditor has a claim;
- a statutory ground for attachment exists (e.g., foreign domicile of the debtor, provided that the claim has a sufficient connection with Switzerland or is based on a recognition of debt; the debtor is attempting to conceal assets); and
- the debtor has assets situated in Switzerland.

A court may also grant interim measures for all other claims, if the applicant shows that in the absence of the requested interim measure it would suffer irreparable harm. Moreover, the applicant must show that it is likely to prevail on the merits of the underlying cause of action. In cases of exceptional urgency, interim measures may be granted *ex parte*.

3.3 What are the main elements of the claimant's pleadings?

The statement of claim to be filed by the claimant must be dated and signed and in essence contain the following:

- the prayers for relief;
- a statement of the value in dispute; and
- a detailed account of all factual allegations and of the evidence offered for each allegation.

The statement of claim usually contains legal arguments as well.

3.4 Can the pleadings be amended? If so, are there any restrictions?

Reductions of the prayers of relief (with prejudice) are permissible at any time. Other amendments of the prayers of relief (including additional claims) are only allowed if they (i) are submitted with the party's second round of pleadings, (ii) are subject to the same type of procedure and venue, and (iii) the new claim is closely connected to the original action or the opposing party agrees with the amendment. After the second round of pleadings, no amendments are admissible, unless they are based on new facts and evidence and the prerequisites mentioned before (ii–iii) are met.

4 Defending a Claim

4.1 What are the main elements of a statement of defence? Can the defendant bring counterclaims/claim or defence of set-off?

The main elements of a statement of defence are essentially the same as mentioned above under question 3.3. Moreover, the statement of defence must state which of the claimant's factual allegations are accepted and which are disputed.

The respondent may file a counterclaim in the statement of defence if the court is competent to deal with the counterclaim (either because of a jurisdiction clause or statutory ground, or because there is a factual connection between the claim and the counterclaim), and

if the counterclaim is subject to the same type of procedure as the main claim. For Euro-international disputes, it is required that the counterclaim is based on the same contract or facts.

Set-off defences are available in Switzerland. A set-off defence should be raised with the respondent's second pleading at the latest.

4.2 What is the time limit within which the statement of defence has to be served?

The court sets a time limit for filing the statement of defence. In deciding on the time limit, the court considers the volume of the statement of claim and the complexity of the case. The average time range for the filing of the statement of defence is 20 to 60 days.

4.3 Is there a mechanism in your civil justice system whereby a defendant can pass on or share liability by bringing an action against a third party?

A party may notify a third party of the dispute ("*Streitverkündung*") if, in the event of losing the case, the party might take recourse against or be subject to recourse by the third party. The notified third party may decide (i) not to react to the notification, (ii) to intervene in favour of the notifying party, or (iii) with consent of the notifying party, to proceed with the litigation in the latter's place. As a general rule, if the notifying party loses the case, the decision will also have effect on the notified party. The notified party's liability will be the subject of a subsequent litigation. It is also possible for the notifying party to integrate the litigation between it and the notified party into the main proceedings ("*Streitverkündungsklage*").

4.4 What happens if the defendant does not defend the claim?

If the statement of defence is not filed in time, the court will set a short period of grace. If the respondent again fails to submit the statement of defence, the court will decide the case if it is in a position to do so. Otherwise, the court shall summon the parties to the main hearing. If the defendant fails to attend the hearing, the court shall decide on the basis of the submissions on file and, as a general rule, may rely on the claimant's representations.

4.5 Can the defendant dispute the court's jurisdiction?

The court's jurisdiction can be disputed. It is important to note that as soon as the defendant submits arguments on the merits without in the first place disputing the court's jurisdiction, the defendant enters an appearance and submits to the court's jurisdiction.

5 Joinder & Consolidation

5.1 Is there a mechanism in your civil justice system whereby a third party can be joined into ongoing proceedings in appropriate circumstances? If so, what are those circumstances?

Broadly speaking, joinder is available if the facts or the legal basis of the claims are connected and if the claims are subject to the same type of proceedings.

For the notification of third parties, see question 4.3.

5.2 Does your civil justice system allow for the consolidation of two sets of proceedings in appropriate circumstances? If so, what are those circumstances?

Swiss courts have discretion to consolidate two sets of proceedings if the facts are closely connected and if the consolidation simplifies the proceedings.

5.3 Do you have split trials/bifurcation of proceedings?

In order to simplify the proceedings, Swiss courts have discretion to limit the proceedings to individual issues or prayers for relief.

6 Duties & Powers of the Courts

6.1 Is there any particular case allocation system before the civil courts in your jurisdiction? How are cases allocated?

Courts allocate the cases among the judges in accordance with their internal policies. Cases should be distributed “blindly” or “mechanically” between the different judges of the court in order to ensure independence. Some courts take a flexible approach and distribute the cases randomly but in consideration of the strengths and specialised areas of the judges.

6.2 Do the courts in your jurisdiction have any particular case management powers? What interim applications can the parties make? What are the cost consequences?

The courts have the power to direct and efficiently manage the proceedings pending before them. Swiss courts namely have the power to consolidate separately filed claims, to separate jointly filed actions or to bifurcate proceedings. If factually connected claims are pending before different courts, the subsequently seized court may transfer the case to the court seized first, given the latter court’s agreement. Moreover, at any time during the proceedings, the courts have the power to facilitate an attempt at amicable settlement.

During the proceedings, the parties can file procedural motions or apply for interim measures (e.g. preservation of evidence, request for a stay). The prerequisites for interim measures to be granted during proceedings are the same as set out under question 3.2. Usually, the costs for such applications are allocated at the end of the proceedings in accordance with the general principle that costs should follow the event.

6.3 What sanctions are the courts in your jurisdiction empowered to impose on a party that disobeys the court’s orders or directions?

During hearings, if any person disrupts the hearing, the court may order the person to pay a reprimand or a disciplinary fine. The court may also exclude the person from the hearing.

Other than that, as far as the parties are concerned, disobeying the procedural duty to cooperate does not result in sanctions or constraints. However, the party risks procedural disadvantages, such as the drawing of adverse inferences or a default judgment. If a third party refuses to cooperate without justification, the court may order disciplinary fines or adopt other measures. Furthermore,

disciplinary fines and criminal sanctions may be imposed for wilfully lying during the examination of the parties or for not telling the truth while testifying.

6.4 Do the courts in your jurisdiction have the power to strike out part of a statement of case or dismiss a case entirely? If so, in what circumstances?

A court may order a party to rectify formal defects of its written submission, e.g., if the submission is incomprehensible or incoherent. If the defect is not rectified, the submission will not be taken into consideration. Courts may also not take into consideration any querulous or abusive submissions. Generally, late or unsolicited submissions will also be disregarded.

6.5 Can the civil courts in your jurisdiction enter summary judgment?

Parties cannot move for a summary judgment and the courts do not have the possibility to issue a summary judgment as known in the U.S., for example. However, after reviewing the parties’ submissions and documentary evidence, a court can issue its judgment on the merits of the case without hearing witnesses or taking other evidence, if it can anticipate the assessment of evidence (i.e., in cases where no material issues of fact remain to be proven or where the evidence offered is irrelevant).

6.6 Do the courts in your jurisdiction have any powers to discontinue or stay the proceedings? If so, in what circumstances?

Once a court has decided that all procedural requirements are met, it may not discontinue the proceedings without rendering a decision on the merits.

However, Swiss courts may stay the proceedings – upon request or *sua sponte* – if appropriate. This may be the case if the decision depends on the outcome of other proceedings or if the parties are engaged in settlement negotiations.

7 Disclosure

7.1 What are the basic rules of disclosure in civil proceedings in your jurisdiction? Is it possible to obtain disclosure pre-action? Are there any classes of documents that do not require disclosure? Are there any special rules concerning the disclosure of electronic documents?

The SCCP does not provide for a pre-trial discovery phase. That being said, in specific and narrowly described circumstances, the taking of evidence as a form of precautionary measure pre-action is possible. Moreover, during proceedings the parties may request the production of a specific document which is in the possession of the opposing party or a third party.

The SCCP does not contain any special rules concerning the disclosure of electronic documents. As is the case with traditional documents, disclosure may be refused if the electronic document in question falls under a privilege, and parties may ask for protective measures to be issued by the courts where business and trade secrets are involved.

7.2 What are the rules on privilege in civil proceedings in your jurisdiction?

Parties and third parties have a duty to cooperate in the taking of evidence. In particular, they have the duty to produce documents in their possession.

Swiss law, however, provides for certain privilege rights in order to protect family members of a party and certain professionals (e.g., attorneys, physicians) from a request for disclosure or from giving testimony. In-house lawyers may not invoke the legal profession privilege.

Generally speaking, privilege may only be invoked by the person bound by the privileged secret (e.g., the attorney). However, documents which are in the possession of the client because they have been sent to it by the attorney are privileged as well.

7.3 What are the rules in your jurisdiction with respect to disclosure by third parties?

Third parties have a duty to cooperate in the taking of evidence, unless they can invoke a legal privilege. This includes, in particular, the duty to truthfully testify as a witness, to produce physical records where required or to allow an examination of their person or property by an expert.

7.4 What is the court's role in disclosure in civil proceedings in your jurisdiction?

Only courts can order a witness to appear or a party or a third party to produce documents.

7.5 Are there any restrictions on the use of documents obtained by disclosure in your jurisdiction?

Swiss courts must take the appropriate measures to protect legitimate interests of any party or third parties, e.g., business secrets. For instance, the court may restrict access to certain documents.

8 Evidence

8.1 What are the basic rules of evidence in your jurisdiction?

As a general rule, the burden of proving the existence of an alleged fact rests on the party that derives rights from that fact. The Swiss courts are free in assessing the evidence.

8.2 What types of evidence are admissible, which ones are not? What about expert evidence in particular?

The SCCP provides for the following types of evidence:

- witness testimony;
- documents;
- expert opinions;
- written statements (“*Schriftliche Auskunft*”);
- inspections; and
- party assertions and testimony (“*Partei- und Beweisaussage*”).

8.3 Are there any particular rules regarding the calling of witnesses of fact? The making of witness statements or depositions?

Parties must name the witnesses on which they rely in their submissions. The court will then order the witnesses to appear and testify orally. Witnesses will be questioned by the court, but the parties have the right to ask additional questions. Witness statements or depositions are generally not admissible evidence.

8.4 Are there any particular rules regarding instructing expert witnesses, preparing expert reports and giving expert evidence in court? Does the expert owe his/her duties to the client or to the court?

Upon request or *ex officio* and after hearing the parties, a Swiss court may obtain an opinion from an expert witness. The court will instruct the expert and submit the relevant questions to her/him. The parties will have the opportunity to comment on the questions to be put to the expert. Thereafter, the court will provide the expert with the necessary files and set a deadline for the submission of the opinion. After the expert has rendered its opinion, the parties may ask for explanations or submit additional questions. The expert has a contractual relationship with the court and owes his duties to the court.

Parties are free to submit reports prepared by their own experts. However, such reports are not given more evidentiary weight than party pleadings.

9 Judgments & Orders

9.1 What different types of judgments and orders are the civil courts in your jurisdiction empowered to issue and in what circumstances?

Courts can render interim decisions, final decisions or partial decisions. Interim decisions are typically rendered to decide upon the competence of a court or questions of prescription. Interim decisions allow for substantial saving of time and costs as they are used where a higher court could potentially issue a contrary decision that would put an immediate end to the proceedings.

The final decision is the actual decision on the merits. Their content depends largely on the claims submitted by the parties, i.e. whether the parties asked for a judgment for damages, for a specific performance or for a declaratory judgment. Partial decisions are a specific kind of final decisions, namely decisions with regard to only part of a claim.

Moreover, courts can issue procedural orders to manage the proceedings.

9.2 What powers do your local courts have to make rulings on damages/interests/costs of the litigation?

Swiss courts cannot award punitive damages. Damages are strictly compensatory and courts may thus only grant damages in the amount of the incurred loss.

Unless the parties have stipulated otherwise, a statutory interest rate of five per cent *per annum* applies to monetary claims. A court will only award interests in the presence of a respective prayer for relief.

As regards costs, see question 1.5.

9.3 How can a domestic/foreign judgment be recognised and enforced?

The recognition and enforcement of foreign judgments depends on the country where the judgment was rendered and on whether or not that country has signed a treaty with Switzerland. For example, a judgment rendered in a Member State of the Lugano Convention will be recognised and enforced in Switzerland without review of the substance of the judgment, save for certain narrowly defined exceptions. In the absence of an international instrument, the recognition and enforcement is governed by the PILA. Under the PILA, final decisions rendered by a competent court will generally be recognised and enforced, unless they violate fundamental principles of Swiss law.

The rules governing the enforcement of any judgment, domestic or foreign, also depend on the nature of the judgment. The rules for the enforcement of monetary judgments are set out in the DEBA. According to the DEBA, monetary judgments are enforced in an expedited procedure. The enforcement of non-monetary judgments is subject to the provisions of the SCCP.

9.4 What are the rules of appeal against a judgment of a civil court of your jurisdiction?

Generally speaking, a decision of a first instance court may be appealed to the upper cantonal court within 30 days of the service of the decision. The threshold amount in dispute for an appeal in pecuniary matters is CHF 10,000. With some exceptions, an appeal has suspensive effect. The grounds for appeal are the incorrect application of the law or the incorrect establishment of the facts of the case.

A decision of an upper cantonal court may be appealed to the Swiss Federal Supreme Court, if the amount in dispute is at least CHF 30,000, or if the matter involves a question of law of fundamental significance. As a general rule, the appeal must be filed within 30 days after notification. The Swiss Federal Supreme Court only re-examines questions of law. An appeal based on erroneous fact finding may only be made where the lower court's findings are obviously wrong or in violation of Swiss law.

10 Settlement

10.1 Are there any formal mechanisms in your jurisdiction by which parties are encouraged to settle claims or which facilitate the settlement process?

Subject to certain exceptions (see above under question 2.1), under the SCCP, a claimant is generally required to initiate conciliation proceedings before filing a claim with the first instance court. Instead of conducting conciliation proceedings, the parties may agree to mediate. If no amicable settlement is reached, the conciliation authority grants a temporary authorisation to proceed with the claim ("*Klagebewilligung*"; see also question 2.1 above).

Courts may also hold instruction hearings at any time during the proceedings. Such hearings are mainly held to prepare for the main hearing or to facilitate a settlement.

II. ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION

1 Preliminaries

1.1 What methods of alternative dispute resolution are available and frequently used in your jurisdiction? Arbitration/Mediation/Expert Determination/Tribunals (or other specialist courts)/Ombudsman? (Please provide a brief overview of each available method.)

Arbitration has a long-standing tradition in Switzerland. Swiss courts are known to respect and enforce arbitration agreements and awards. Arbitration is the only alternative to court litigation where it is possible to achieve a final, binding and enforceable resolution of a dispute. Switzerland is a party to the Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 1958 ("New York Convention").

Mediation has traditionally been used as a means of (non-binding) dispute resolution in family law matters. Recently, mediation has become more popular for the amicable resolution of commercial disputes. Generally speaking, in mediation proceedings an impartial third party seeks to help resolve a dispute by facilitating settlement negotiations. The mediator has no authority to impose a binding solution on the parties. Swiss courts cannot order parties to resort to mediation, but they can encourage them to do so.

Expert determination (frequently used in relation with price adjustment disputes in M&A transactions) and proceedings before an ombudsman (for example, in banking matters) are further means of alternative dispute resolution available in Switzerland.

1.2 What are the laws or rules governing the different methods of alternative dispute resolution?

As far as arbitration is concerned, a distinction has to be made between domestic and international arbitration. International arbitration, i.e. an arbitration where at least one of the parties has its residency outside Switzerland when concluding the arbitration agreement, is governed by the 12th Chapter of the PILA. Rules governing domestic arbitration are set out in the SCCP.

As regards mediation, the SCCP only governs the relationship between mediation and state court litigation, but does not regulate the process itself. The parties are thus free to structure the mediation as they see fit.

1.3 Are there any areas of law in your jurisdiction that cannot use Arbitration/Mediation/Expert Determination/Tribunals/Ombudsman as a means of alternative dispute resolution?

As a general rule, any pecuniary dispute may be submitted to international arbitration. Domestic arbitration and mediation is available for all claims that parties may freely dispose of. Claims that first and foremost affect a party's personal rights cannot be arbitrated. This includes marriage, paternity, child adoption, divorce or separation.

1.4 Can local courts provide any assistance to parties that wish to invoke the available methods of alternative dispute resolution? For example, will a court – pre or post the constitution of an arbitral tribunal – issue interim or provisional measures of protection (i.e. holding orders pending the final outcome) in support of arbitration proceedings, will the court force parties to arbitrate when they have so agreed, or will the court order parties to mediate or seek expert determination? Is there anything that is particular to your jurisdiction in this context?

Swiss courts may assist with the constitution of an arbitral tribunal, e.g., appointment, removal or replacement of arbitrators. The state judges' assistance can also be requested if a party does not voluntarily comply with provisional measures ordered by the arbitral tribunal. Moreover, Swiss courts will assist in the taking of evidence or provide any further assistance.

If a respondent invokes an arbitration agreement, a Swiss court must decline to hear the case, unless the agreement to arbitrate is null and void, ineffective or incapable to be performed or if the tribunal cannot be constituted due to reasons attributable to the respondent.

1.5 How binding are the available methods of alternative dispute resolution in nature? For example, are there any rights of appeal from arbitration awards and expert determination decisions, are there any sanctions for refusing to mediate, and do settlement agreements reached at mediation need to be sanctioned by the court? Is there anything that is particular to your jurisdiction in this context?

Arbitration awards are binding and enforceable in Switzerland. International and domestic arbitral awards can only be appealed before the Swiss Federal Supreme Court. In domestic arbitration, the parties are free to agree to the jurisdiction of the high court of the canton at the seat of the arbitration instead. The grounds for attacking an arbitral award are limited to *ordre public* (international awards), arbitrariness (domestic awards) and certain essential procedural rights (domestic and international awards).

Settlements reached through mediation are generally treated as extrajudicial settlement agreements and have the binding force of an ordinary contract. To the extent mediation was conducted in the context of judicial proceedings, the settlement agreement may be ratified by the Conciliation Judge or the court. In this case, the settlement agreement has the effect of a final and binding decision.

2 Alternative Dispute Resolution Institutions

2.1 What are the major alternative dispute resolution institutions in your jurisdiction?

The most widely known Swiss provider of arbitration and mediation is the Swiss Chamber's Arbitration Institution (www.swissarbitration.org). This institution has adopted unified rules of arbitration and mediation and provides respective services.

Most Swiss arbitration practitioners are members of the Swiss Arbitration Association ("ASA"; www.arbitration-ch.org), which is a non-profit association committed to promoting arbitration.

Besides the Swiss Chamber's Arbitration Institution, other private institutions offer mediation services, e.g., the Swiss Chamber of Commercial Mediation, the Swiss Mediation Association and also the Swiss Bar Association.

**Matthew Reiter**

Bär & Karrer AG
Brandschenkestrasse 90
CH-8027 Zurich
Switzerland

Tel: +41 58 261 50 00
Fax: +41 58 261 50 01
Email: matthew.reiter@baerkarrer.ch
URL: www.baerkarrer.ch

Matthew Reiter heads Bär & Karrer's litigation practice. He specialises in litigation and arbitration and has extensive experience in a wide range of state court and administrative proceedings (including criminal investigations, white-collar crimes, enforcement proceedings and international judicial assistance) but focuses on the resolution of large domestic and international commercial disputes.

Matthew Reiter has successfully represented clients in numerous domestic and international arbitrations, both in *ad hoc* and institutional proceedings (primarily ICC, ZCC/Swiss Rules), especially relating to joint ventures and shareholders' agreements, large construction contracts, M&A transactions and supply agreements.

Clients of Matthew Reiter include individuals as well as listed and non-listed domestic and foreign companies from various sectors (such as the banking and services industry as well as the pharmaceutical, chemical, energy, automotive, aviation and construction industries).

The Legal 500 2015 recommends Matthew Reiter in dispute resolution matters.

**Simone Fuchs**

Bär & Karrer AG
Brandschenkestrasse 90
CH-8027 Zurich
Switzerland

Tel: +41 58 261 50 00
Fax: +41 58 261 50 01
Email: simone.fuchs@baerkarrer.ch
URL: www.baerkarrer.ch

Simone Fuchs's practice focuses on domestic and cross-border litigation as well as domestic and international arbitration in both commercial and corporate law matters. She advises and represents clients at all stages of the dispute resolution process, from prevention and settlement negotiations through to participation in proceedings and enforcement of arbitral awards or court decisions.

Practice areas: Arbitration and Litigation.

Languages: German and English.



Bär & Karrer is a renowned Swiss law firm with more than 140 lawyers in Zurich, Geneva, Lugano and Zug.

Our core business is advising our clients on innovative and complex transactions and representing them in litigation, arbitration and regulatory proceedings. Our clients range from multinational corporations to private individuals in Switzerland and around the world.

Bär & Karrer was repeatedly awarded Switzerland Law Firm of the Year by the most important international legal ranking agencies in recent years.

- 2015 and 2014 Legal 500 ("most recommended law firm in Switzerland").
- 2015, 2014, 2013, 2011, 2010 The Lawyer European Awards.
- 2013 and 2012 Chambers Awards.
- 2015 and 2014 IFLR Awards.
- 2015 and 2014 Mergermarket M&A Awards.
- 2015 Citywealth Magic Circle Awards ("Law firm of the Year - EMEA").
- 2014 Citywealth International Financial Centre Awards.

Current titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Data Protection
- Employment & Labour Law
- Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- International Arbitration
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping Law
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks



59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 / Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: sales@glgroup.co.uk

www.iclg.co.uk